


Moderna's top scientist: 'We are actually hacking the software of life'

 leohohmann.com/2021/03/09/modernas-top-scientist-we-are-actually-hacking-the-software-of-life

View all posts by leohohmann

March 9, 2021



Dr. Tal Zaks, the chief medical officer at Moderna Inc., explained in a 2017 TED talk how the company's mRNA vaccine was designed to work.

Over the last 30 years, he said, "we've been living this phenomenal digital scientific revolution, and I'm here today to tell you, that we are actually hacking the software of life, and that it's changing the way we think about prevention and treatment of disease."

He went on to explain [*see video below*] that the human body is made up of organs and organs are made up of cells.

"In every cell there's this thing called messenger RNA or mRNA for short, that transmits the critical information from the DNA in our genes to the protein, which is really the stuff we're all made out of. This is the critical information that determines what the cell will do. So we think about it as an operating system.

"So if you could change that, if you could introduce a line of code, or change a line of code, it turns out, that has profound implications for everything, from the flu to cancer."



Watch Video At: <https://youtu.be/FU-cqTNQhMM>

I **reported on Feb. 4** that Moderna describes its new vaccine as “*a computer operating system*” but I was not aware at that time that Zaks had spoken three years ago about this, totally debunking the establishment media’s lie that mRNA vaccines don’t alter your genetic code.

He could not be more clear when he said “*We are actually hacking the software of life.*”

Zaks stressed that in 2017 his company was working on a vaccine that would not act like any previous vaccine ever created.

“Imagine if instead of giving [the patient] the protein of a virus, we gave them the instructions on how to make the protein, how the body can make its own vaccine,” he said.

Zaks said it took decades to sequence the human genome, which was accomplished in 2003, “And now we can do it in a week.”

He proceeded to reveal, in 2017, his company’s plans to make individual cancer vaccines, tailored to the needs of individual cancer patients, “because every cancer is different.”

Interestingly, one of the most potentially catastrophic side effects of the mRNA vaccine is its interaction with cancer cells. According to a study at New York City-based Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, the **mRNA has a tendency to inactivate tumor-suppressing proteins**, meaning it can promote the growth of cancer cells.

Both the Moderna and Pfizer injections are experimental mRNA vaccines. The FDA has only granted these injections Emergency Use Authorization [EUA] and they will remain in trials through 2023, yet the government, media and corporations are all promoting them as though they are guaranteed safe.

This systemic deception will, in my opinion, end up being judged in the rear-view mirror of history as one of the most reckless acts of medical treachery ever committed against the human race.

If this so-called vaccine does cause more people to get cancer, think of the possibilities from a purely business point of view.

Based on the predictions of Dr. Zaks, who oversaw the creation of the vaccine now being given to millions of people worldwide, the same Big Pharma companies that could potentially give people cancer with one vaccine could step forward later with another vaccine offering the cure for cancer. If you are the CEO of a mega pharmaceutical who answers to profit-driven Wall Street shareholders, that's a brilliant strategy!

But is it ethical from a medical point of view? That's a question nobody is asking.

As I listen to Dr. Zaks lay out the achievements of his company in creating the mRNA vaccine, I cannot help but think of how incredibly arrogant it sounds. That scientists think they can rewrite the genetic code [*his words not mine for all you out there who still don't believe these mRNA vaccines change the genetic code just because some 'fact checker' says they don't*], believing they can improve on a person's God-given genetic makeup is entering dangerous territory. Who's to say they won't correct one problem and create something far worse?

Zaks wrapped up his 2017 speech with the following words.

“If you think about what it is we're trying to do. We've taken information and our understanding of that information and how that information is transmitted in a cell, and we've taken our understanding of medicine and how to make drugs, and we're fusing the two. We think of it as information therapy.”

Information therapy. Just like a computer software code.

These scientists truly believe that the human body is nothing more than a machine that can be hacked into and reordered according to some programmer's instructions.

The same ground-breaking nature of this research that excites some, is what horrifies others.

A person's genetic makeup is, as Dr. Zak said, “the software of life.”

If this is true, then who should be the ultimate authority over each human being's genetic software code? If we truly live in a free society, wouldn't it stand to reason that we would want to have an energetic debate over how to answer that question? Shouldn't it be the number-one issue being debated in Congress and the media? Instead, nobody is allowed to even ask these questions without being threatened, censored, rebuked, deplatformed. Members of the corporate media who dare broach the question get fired.

Contrary to what some scientists believe, we are not machines. We are human beings with bodies, souls and free wills. Anyone who tries to mandate the acceptance of an experimental gene-altering treatment is going against the international Nuremberg Codes, which require informed consent of any experimental treatment.

Dear readers: Please do not allow your employer, your government, your family, your friends or anyone else to intimidate you, or in any way try to persuade you, to accept this experimental treatment if you do not want it. You are your own health authority, period. If your employer threatens you with termination for rejecting this injection, please contact an attorney. The Rutherford Institute, headed up by civil rights attorney John Whitehead, is one good option. Others include Mat Staver's Liberty Counsel.

Leo Hohmann is an independent author and journalist whose work is 100 percent reader supported. If you appreciate the information on this site please consider a contribution of any size. You may mail it c/o Leo Hohmann, PO Box 291, Newnan, GA 30264 or via credit card below.

